UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,063	06/22/2005	Peter Geigenberger	13311-00008-US	4909
23416 7590 09/21/2009 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP P O BOX 2207 WH MINGTON, DE 10000			EXAMINER	
			PAGE, BRENT T	
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/540,063	GEIGENBERGER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	BRENT PAGE	1638		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPUBLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divide apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31.      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 45,47-61 and 63 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest solution Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 45, 47-61 and 63 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examir</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E</li> </ul>	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) \( \sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/31/2009 has been entered.

Claims 45, 47-61 and 63 are pending. The addition of new claim 63 is hereby acknowledged. Claims 45, 47-61 and 63 are examined herein on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112-1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph

Applicant's arguments, see page 5 of response, filed 06/19/2009, with respect to indefiniteness and written description have been fully considered and are persuasive when taken together with the claim amendments. The rejection of claim 61 under 35 USC 112 has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 45 and 47-61 remain rejected and claim 63 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harper et al (PGPUB-20020160378) in view of Sowa

Page 3

et al (1998 PNAS 95:10317-10321) and further, in view of Nykiforuk (US patent 6552250). The claims remain rejected for the reasons of record in the office actions mailed out on 09/08/2006, 10/19/2007, 09/16/2008, 07/08/2009, as well as the reasons set forth below.

Applicant's arguments filed 06/19/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants urge that the previously cited references fail to teach a motivation for altering the invention of Harper et al to produce oil or starch and that the art did not appreciate the property of increased oil and/or starch content (see pages 5-9 of response).

This is not persuasive because the transformation of plants with a hemoglobin gene is taught in the prior art. The only difference between the prior art and the instantly claimed invention is the recovery of oil and/or starch from the resultant transformed plants. As discussed in the prior office action mailed out on 03/31/2009, the plant species taught in the prior art are commonly grown for starch and/or oil isolation and such isolation would have been obvious from the taught, transformed plants based on the standard practice in the art as shown by the Nykiforuk reference. Furthermore, the principle steps in the method claims are all met by the prior art, rendering the method obvious. In the instant case, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to transform plants with a hemoglobin gene as taught in the prior art. One further would have been motivated to isolate starch and/or oil from maize or potato as these crops are commonly used for oil and/or starch production. If, in the

normal use of plants of the instant invention one would have arrived at the instant invention, the elements would be considered to naturally follow from such normal use and thus render the instantly claimed invention obvious.

No claims are free of the prior art.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRENT PAGE whose telephone number is (571)272-5914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on (571)-272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,063 Page 5

Art Unit: 1638

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brent T Page

/Anne Marie Grunberg/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1638